

THE NON-MICROSTATES FREE ENTROPY DIMENSION OF DT-OPERATORS

LARS AAGAARD

ABSTRACT. Dykema and Haagerup introduced the class of DT-operators [DH1] and also showed that every DT-operator generate $L(\mathbf{F}_2)$ [DH2], the von Neumann algebra generated by the free group on two generators. In this paper we prove that Voiculescu's non-microstates free entropy dimension is 2 for all DT-operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The class of DT-operators was introduced by Dykema and Haagerup in [DH1]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of $n \times n$ -matrices with entries being random variables over a classical probability space having moments of all orders. Let $\tau_n : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the expectation of the normalized trace on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \tau_n)$ is a $*$ -noncommutative probability space.

Let μ be a compactly supported Borel-measure on $\mathbb{C}$ and let $c > 0$. Let $D_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be diagonal matrices with i.i.d diagonal entries all having distribution μ . Let $T_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be strictly upper triangular matrices such that the $n(n-1)$ real and imaginary parts of the entries above the diagonal consists of a family of i.i.d. centered gaussian random variables with variance $1/2n$. Define $Z_n = D_n + cT_n$. Let Z be an element in a $*$ -non-commutative probability space, $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Z is a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -element if its $*$ -moments, $\tau(Z^{\epsilon_1} Z^{\epsilon_2} \dots Z^{\epsilon_k})$, is determined by the the limit

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(Z_n^{\epsilon_1} Z_n^{\epsilon_2} \dots Z_n^{\epsilon_k})$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{1, *\}$. The limit (1.1) always exists [DH1, Th. 2.1].

A $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator is a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -element constructed in a W^* -probability space, and a DT-operator is a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator for some μ and c . Haagerup and Dykema has shown [DH2] that actually every DT-operator generate a von Neumann algebra isomorphic to $L(\mathbf{F}_2)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the free group on two generators.

Voiculescu has introduced two kinds of entropy; a microstates free entropy, χ , and a non-microstates free entropy, χ^* , and he conjectures

that $\chi = \chi^*$. Following the definition of the microstates free entropy dimension, δ , from χ we define the non-microstates free entropy dimension, δ^* , similarly from χ^* . So if $\chi = \chi^*$ then of course $\delta = \delta^*$.

Śniady has shown a formula [Śn] for the microstates free entropy of DT-operators. In particular it follows from his results that a $\text{DT}(\delta_0, 1)$ -operator or upper triangular operator which is also just the limit in $*$ -moments of the T'_n s above, has microstates free entropy $-\infty$. This makes it an interesting problem to compute the free entropy dimension of this operator since $-\infty$ -microstates entropy is the only chance that the microstates entropy dimension can be less than 2. We prove the following theorem

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be a compactly supported Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}$, let $c > 0$ and let Z be a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \delta^*(Z) = 2.$$

We also consider $\delta^*(\cdot, \mathcal{B})$, the non-microstates free entropy dimension with respect to an algebra, $\mathcal{B}$, and we show that $\delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B})$ of self-adjoint variables $a_1, \dots, a_n$ can only be different from n if the non-microstates free Fischer information, $\Phi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B})$, is $+\infty$.

Acknowledgements. It is a great pleasure for me to thank my advisor Professor Uffe Haagerup for suggesting the problem to me and for stimulating and fruitful discussions on the subject. I would also like to thank Peter Ainsworth for letting me publish the results in the appendix in this paper. Finally I would like to thank A. Nica for pointing out the reference [NSS2].

2. REVIEW ON FREE FISCHER INFORMATION

The following review on free Fisher information can be read out of [NSS1], [NSS2] and [Sp2]. Free Fischer information was originally introduced by Voiculescu in [Voi1], [Voi5] and further investigated in [Voi6].

In this section we will, unless otherwise stated, let $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ be a W^* -probability space with ϕ a faithful, normal trace, let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra. Also we let $E_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a conditional expectation such that $(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}, E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a $\mathcal{B}$ -probability space compatible to $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ in the sense that $\phi = \phi \circ E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|a\|_{\phi} = \sqrt{\phi(a^*a)}$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{A}$ then $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$ will denote the L^2 -completion, $\overline{\text{alg}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\phi}}$, of the unital $*$ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{X}$.

We define a self-adjoint family, $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$, to be a family of operators such that for all $i \in I$ there exists $j \in I$ such that $a_i^* = a_j$.

Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ be a W^* -probability space with ϕ a faithful trace. Let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra, and let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a self-adjoint family of random variables in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a family of vectors $(\xi)_{i \in I}$ from $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ fulfills the conjugate relations for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ if

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \phi(\xi_i b_0 a_{i_1} b_1 a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} b_n) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n \delta_{i, i_m} \phi(b_0 a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{m-1}} b_{m-1}) \phi(b_m a_{i_{m+1}} b_{m+1} \cdots a_{i_n} b_n), \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \geq 0$, $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $i, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I$.

A family of vectors $(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ is said to be a conjugate system for a self-adjoint family of operators, $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$, with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ if it satisfies the conjugate relations (2.1) and if furthermore $(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$.

Remark 2.2. (a) The above definition is to be understood as $\phi(\xi_i b) = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is unital we thus have $\phi(\xi_i) = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

- (b) If a conjugate system $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ exists then it is unique since (2.1) is a prescription for taking inner products with monomials of the form $b_0 a_{i_1} b_1 a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} b_n$ from $L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$, so the inner product of an element from $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ with an arbitrary element from $L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$ is completely determined.
- (c) If one can find $(\xi)_{i \in I}$ that fulfills the conjugate relations, (2.1), for a self-adjoint family, $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$, with respect to a unital sub-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, then if $P : L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi) \rightarrow L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$ is the Hilbert space projection then $(P\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a conjugate system for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$.
- (d) If $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ are all self-adjoint then Voiculescu originally denoted the conjugate variables of $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathcal{J}(a_i : \mathcal{B}[a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n])$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ be a W^* -probability space with ϕ a faithful trace. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra, and let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a self-adjoint family of random variables in $\mathcal{A}$. If $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ has a conjugate system, $(\xi)_{i \in I}$, with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ then we define the free Fischer

information of $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ as:

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i \in I} \|\xi_i\|_\phi^2.$$

If no conjugate system exists for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ we define $\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) = +\infty$. If $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}1$ then we define

$$\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathbb{C}1),$$

and we call this the free Fischer information of $(a_i)_{i \in I}$.

Remark 2.4. (a) If $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies the conjugate relations for a self-adjoint family $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ and if $P : L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi) \rightarrow L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$ is the Hilbert space projection then by remark 2.2 (c) we know that $(P\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a conjugate system for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ and since projections are norm decreasing we conclude that

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|\xi_i\|_\phi^2.$$

(b) If $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly positive scalar then one easily sees that if $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a self-adjoint family of random variables with conjugate system $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ then $(\frac{1}{r}\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a conjugate system for $(ra_i)_{i \in I}$ and thus

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi^*((ra_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{r^2} \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}).$$

(c) The free Fischer information respects inclusion of sub-algebras in the following sense. If $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ is a W^* -probability space and $1 \in \mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ are to unital W^* -sub-algebras then if $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a self-adjoint system then

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}_1) \leq \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}_2)$$

because if a conjugate system for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ exists with respect to $\mathcal{B}_2$ then this conjugate system will also satisfy the conjugate relations for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}_1$, and hence (2.5) follows from (2.3) in (a).

The following theorem is a special case of [NSS2, Th. 4.1]. Concerning cumulants we adopt the tensor-product notation of [Sp1].

Theorem 2.5. [NSS2, Th. 4.1] *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ be a W^* -probability space where ϕ is a faithful, normal trace and let $(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}, E_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a $\mathcal{B}$ -probability space compatible to $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$. Let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a self-adjoint family of random variables in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies the conjugate relations for $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if*

$$(2.6) \quad \kappa_{n+1}^{\mathcal{B}}(\xi_i \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} b_0 a_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} b_1 a_{i_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} b_{n-1} a_{i_n}) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ii_1} \phi(b_0) 1 & \text{for } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

for all $b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $i, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$.

Remark 2.6. Consider a non-self-adjoint random variable $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then a conjugate system for (a, a^*) must have the form (ξ, ξ^*) because of the tracial properties of ϕ and the conjugate relations, (2.1). From theorem 2.5 it is easy to see that $\left(\frac{\xi + \xi^*}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{\xi - \xi^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right)$ is a conjugate system for $\left(\frac{a + a^*}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{a - a^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right)$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ so we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(a, a^*) &= \|\xi\|_{\phi}^2 + \|\xi^*\|_{\phi}^2 = \phi(\xi^* \xi) + \phi(\xi \xi^*) \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{\xi^2 + (\xi^*)^2 + \xi^* \xi + \xi \xi^*}{2}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{\xi^2 + (\xi^*)^2 - \xi^* \xi - \xi \xi^*}{2}\right) \\ &= \left\|\frac{\xi + \xi^*}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{\phi}^2 + \left\|-\frac{\xi - \xi^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{\phi}^2 = \Phi^*\left(\frac{a + a^*}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{a - a^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.4) of remark 2.4 we have

$$2\Phi^*(a, a^*) = \Phi^*(\Re a, \Im a).$$

3. NON-MICROSTATES FREE ENTROPY DIMENSION

The non-microstates free entropy for several self-adjoint random variables was originally defined by Voiculescu.

Definition 3.1. [Voi5, Def. 7.1] Let $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a W^* -probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. The non-microstates free entropy of $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is then defined as

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\chi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n}{1+t} - \Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n) dt + \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi e), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are standard semicircular elements such that $\{S_1\}, \dots, \{S_n\}$ and $\{\text{alg}((a_i)_{i=1}^n \cup \mathcal{B})\}$ are free sets.

The following property of χ^* is shown by Voiculescu in [Voi5].

Proposition 3.2. [Voi5, Prop 7.2] *Let $(a_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}$, $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$ and ϕ be as in definition 3.1. Let $C^2 = \phi(a_1^2 + \cdots a_n^2)$. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \chi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi e n^{-1} C^2).$$

In [Voi2] Voiculescu defined the microstates free entropy dimension. We consider the non-microstates free analogue.

Definition 3.3. Let $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a W^* -probability space (A, ϕ) where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. The non-microstates free entropy dimension of $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) = n + \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \epsilon S_1, \dots, a_n + \epsilon S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log \epsilon|},$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are standard semicircular variables such that $\{S_1\}, \dots, \{S_n\}$ and $\{(a_i)_{i=1}^n \cup \mathcal{B}\}$ are ϕ -free.

An easy upper bound of the non-microstates free entropy dimension follows from proposition 3.2

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a collection of not all zero self-adjoint random variables in a W^* -probability space (A, ϕ) where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a standard semicircular family ϕ -free from $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$. Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq n.$$

Proof. Let $C^2 = \phi(a_1^2 + \cdots a_n^2) > 0$. Then

$$\phi((a_1 + \epsilon S_1)^2 + \cdots + (a_n + \epsilon S_n)^2) = C^2 + n\epsilon^2,$$

so we infer from (3.2) of proposition 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) &= n + \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \epsilon S_1, \dots, a_n + \epsilon S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log \epsilon|} \\ &\leq n + \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi e n^{-1} (C^2 + \epsilon^2 n))}{|\log \epsilon|} \\ &= n, \end{aligned}$$

since C^2 is strictly positive. $\square$

A lower bound of the non-microstates free entropy dimension can be estimated from the non-microstates free Fischer information in the following sense.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a W^* -probability space (A, ϕ) where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. The non-microstates free entropy dimension of $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is bounded from below by*

$$(3.5) \quad \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \geq n - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})),$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ is a family of standard semicircular random variables such that $\{S_1\}, \dots, \{S_n\}$ and $\{\mathcal{B} \cup (a_i)_{i=1}^n\}$ are ϕ -free. If the $\limsup$ on the right-hand side of (3.5) is convergent then inequality in (3.5) becomes equality.

Proof. We only show the inequality of (3.5). The last statement about equality follows by trivial modifications of the argument. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \phi, (a_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$ be as in the proposition. Define

$$a := \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})),$$

and let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists an interval $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{a + \epsilon}{t},$$

for all $0 < t < \delta$.

Using definition 3.1 carefully implies

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{\delta}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{\delta}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \\ & - \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\delta \frac{n}{1+s-\delta} - \Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{s}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{s}S_n : \mathcal{B}) ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_\delta^\infty \frac{n}{1+s-\delta} - \frac{n}{1+s-t} ds \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\delta \Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{s}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{s}S_n : \mathcal{B}) ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\delta \frac{a + \epsilon}{s} ds = \frac{a + \epsilon}{2} \log \left(\frac{\delta}{t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t < \min\{\delta, 1\}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \\ & \geq \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{\delta}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{\delta}S_n : \mathcal{B}) - \frac{a + \epsilon}{2} \log \delta - \frac{a + \epsilon}{2} |\log t|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log t|} \geq -\frac{a + \epsilon}{2},$$

and since ϵ was chosen arbitrarily comparing to (3.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) &= n + 2 \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log t|} \\ &\geq n + 2 \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log t|} \\ &\geq n - a \\ &= n - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})). \end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that it might be tempting to adopt the right-hand side of (3.5) as the definition of the non-microstates free entropy dimension with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. Voiculescu has shown the following Free Stam inequality.

Proposition 3.6. [Voi5, Prop. 6.5] *Let $(a_i^1)_{i=1}^n, (a_i^2)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a W^* -probability space (A, ϕ) where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebras of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\text{alg}((a_i^1)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}_1)$ and $\text{alg}((a_i^2)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}_2)$ are ϕ -free then*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi^*(a_1^1 + a_1^2, \dots, a_n^1 + a_n^2 : W^*(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2))^{-1} \\ \geq \Phi^*(a_1^1, \dots, a_n^1 : \mathcal{B}_1)^{-1} + \Phi^*(a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2 : \mathcal{B}_2)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Combining the lower bound of δ^* from proposition 3.5, proposition 3.4 and proposition 3.6 we have the following interesting which limits the free entropy dimension problem to the case of infinite free Fischer information.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a W^* -probability space (A, ϕ) where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) < \infty \Rightarrow \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) = n$$

Proof. Apply the Free Stam inequality (3.7) on the algebras $\text{alg}((a_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B})$ and $\text{alg}((S_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathbb{C})$ and observe that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{nt}{\frac{n}{\alpha} + t} = 0,$$

when $\alpha = \Phi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) < \infty$. $\square$

4. χ^* AND δ^* FOR A NON-SELF-ADJOINT RANDOM VARIABLE

We translate the results from section 3 into the context of one non-self-adjoint variable by considering real and imaginary parts. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ be W^* -probability space with a normal faithful tracial state, and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be non-self-adjoint random variable. For the microstates free entropy we have $\chi(a) = \chi(\Re a, \Im a)$ [HP, Prop. 6.5.5] so it seems natural to define

$$(4.1) \quad \chi^*(a : \mathcal{B}) := \chi^*(\Re a, \Im a : \mathcal{B}).$$

and thus also

$$(4.2) \quad \delta^*(a : \mathcal{B}) := \delta^*(\Re a, \Im a : \mathcal{B})$$

Using proposition 3.5 we have the following corollary on non-microstates free entropy dimension of a single non-self-adjoint variable.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be a non-self-adjoint family of random variables in a W^* -probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ where ϕ is a faithful normal tracial state, and let $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a unital W^* -sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then a lower bound of the non-microstates free entropy dimension of a with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is*

$$(4.3) \quad \delta^*(a : \mathcal{B}) \geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a + \sqrt{t}Y, (a + \sqrt{t}Y)^* : \mathcal{B})),$$

where Y is a standard circular random variable $*$ -free from a and $\mathcal{B}$. If the $\limsup$ on the right-hand side of (4.3) is convergent then inequality in (4.3) becomes equality.

Proof. Just use (3.5) of proposition 3.5 on the self-adjoint variables $\Re a$ and $\Im a$ and substitute S_1 and S_2 with a single circular random variable Y $*$ -free from a such that $\frac{Y+Y^*}{\sqrt{2}} = S_1$ and $\frac{Y-Y^*}{i\sqrt{2}} = S_2$. Then (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(a : \mathcal{B}) &= \delta^*(\Re a, \Im a : \mathcal{B}) \\ &\geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(\Re(a + \sqrt{2t}Y), \Im(a + \sqrt{2t}Y) : \mathcal{B})) \end{aligned}$$

Now remark 2.6 implies (4.3). $\square$

5. PROPERTIES OF DT-OPERATORS

In this section we first review some basic properties of DT-operators which can be read out of [DH1] and [DH2]. We then deduce some results relating several DT-operators and standard circular operators.

From now on, unless otherwise stated, we let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful, normal, tracial state, τ , such that $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a W^* -probability space. We also assume that we are given an injective, unital, normal $*$ -homomorphism $\lambda : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, such that $\tau \circ \lambda(f) = \int_0^1 f(t)dt$ for $f \in L^\infty[0, 1]$. We let $\mathcal{D}$ be the picture of $L^\infty[0, 1]$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and let $E_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto $\mathcal{D}$. We will identify $\mathcal{D}$ and $L^\infty[0, 1]$ and thus consider elements of $\mathcal{D}$ as L^∞ -functions. Assume also that $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a standard semicircular family of random variables τ -free from $\mathcal{D}$. Then $(W^*(\mathcal{D} \cup \{X_i\}))_{i=1}^\infty$ are $\mathcal{D}$ -free with respect to $E_{\mathcal{D}}$. As in [DH1] (we also adopt the notation of [DH1]) we can now construct upper triangular operators, $T_i = \mathcal{UT}(X_i, \lambda)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $D_0 : x \mapsto x \in \mathcal{D}$ then $T_i = \mathcal{UT}(X_i, \lambda)$ is the norm limit of

$$(5.1) \quad T_n^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{\left[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right]}(D_0) X_i 1_{\left[\frac{j}{n}, 1\right]}(D_0)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [DH1, Lemma 4.1]. It follows that $T_i \in W^*(\mathcal{D} \cup \{X_i\})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ so $(T_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -free family. (T_i, T_i^*) is a centered $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian pair for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ [DH2, Appendix A] and it follows that $(T_i, T_i^*)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a centered $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian set in the sense of Speicher [Sp1, Def. 4.2.3].

Define for $f \in \mathcal{D}$

$$(5.2) \quad L^*(f) : x \mapsto \int_0^x f(t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad L(f) : x \mapsto \int_x^1 f(t)dt$$

From the appendix of [DH2] it follows that the covariances are given by

Lemma 5.1. [DH2, Appendix] *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Then*

$$(5.3) \quad E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i f T_i^*) = L(f) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i^* f T_i) = L^*(f),$$

$$(5.4) \quad E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i f T_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i^* f T_i^*) = 0,$$

and $(T_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -*-free family with respect to $E_{\mathcal{D}}$.

A $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator, as defined in the introduction, where μ is a compactly supported Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}$ and $c > 0$, can be realized in the W^* -probability space $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Theorem 5.2. [DH1, Th. 4.4] *Let $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ where X_1 and λ is as above, and let $c > 0$. Let $f \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ and define $D = \lambda(f)$. Then*

$D + cT_1$ is a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -element, where μ is the push-forward measure of the Lebesgue-measure by f .

There is a certain freedom in choosing $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 5.3. [DH1, Lemma 6.2] *Let μ be a compactly supported Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Then there is $f \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ whose distribution is μ and such that if $D = \lambda(f)$ and if $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ is as above, then for any $c > 0$ D itself lies in the W^* -algebra generated by $D + cT_1$.*

Dykema and Haagerup also showed that surprisingly we have

Theorem 5.4. [DH2, Th. 2.2] *Let $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ be as above. If $D_0 : x \mapsto x \in \mathcal{D}$ is the identity then $D_0 \in W^*(T_1)$.*

Summarizing on theorem 5.2, lemma 5.3 and theorem 5.4 then a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -element can be constructed as $Z := D + cT_1$ where the distribution of $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is μ , $c > 0$ and $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$. D can furthermore be chosen in such a way that $D \in W^*(Z) = W^*(T_1) \cong L(\mathbf{F}_2)$.

From [DH1] we know that $T_i + T_i^* = X_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We will need a relation between upper triangular operators and standard circular variables, and for this we will use the following theorem which is a special case of a theorem of Nica, Shlyakhtenko and Speicher [NSS3]. The theorem as it is stated here is from [ŠnSp, Th. 6].

Theorem 5.5. [NSS3] *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a probability space and $(\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}, E_{\mathcal{D}})$ a $\mathcal{D}$ -probability space compatible to $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are free in $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ iff for every $n \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists $c_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for every $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n^{\mathcal{D}}(x_1 d_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} x_{n-1} d_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} x_n) \\ = c_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tau(d_1) \cdots \tau(d_{n-1}) 1 \end{aligned}$$

If the above holds, we have

$$c_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \kappa_n^{\mathbb{C}}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ and $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$ be upper triangular operators, and let $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ be a standard circular random variable $*$ -free from T_1 with respect to τ . Then for $a, b \geq 0$*

$$(5.5) \quad \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}Y \stackrel{*-\text{dist.}}{\sim} \sqrt{a+b}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*.$$

In particular $T_1 + T_2^$ is a circular element.*

Proof. First we prove that if $T_3 = \mathcal{UT}(X_3, \lambda)$ is upper triangular then $T_3 + T_2^*$ is a standard circular variable with respect to τ . We know that

$T_3 + T_2^*$ is $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian since T_2 and T_3 are $\mathcal{D}$ -free and the $\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}$ -cumulants are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((T_3 + T_2^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)^*) &= L(d) + L^*(d) = \tau(d)1 \\ \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((T_3 + T_2^*)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)) &= L^*(d) + L(d) = \tau(d)1 \\ \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((T_3 + T_2^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)) &= 0 \\ \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((T_3 + T_2^*)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)^*) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$. We thus see from theorem 5.5 that actually $T_3 + T_2^*$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -Gaussian with $\kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ -cumulants given by

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}(T_3 + T_2^*, (T_3 + T_2^*)^*) &= \kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}((T_2 + T_3)^*, T_2 + T_3) = 1 \\ \kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}(T_3 + T_2^*, T_3 + T_2^*) &= \kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}((T_3 + T_2^*)^*, (T_3 + T_2^*)^*) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_3 + T_2^*$ is a standard circular random variable. Furthermore theorem 5.5 implies that $T_3 + T_2^*$ is τ -free from $\mathcal{D}$. Since also $T_3 + T_2^*$ is τ -free from X_1 we conclude that $T_3 + T_2^*$ is τ -free from $W^*(X_1, \mathcal{D})$ and in particular $T_3 + T_2^*$ is τ -free from T_1 . Hence

$$\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}(T_3 + T_2^*) \stackrel{distr}{\sim} \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}Y,$$

where Y is a standard circular random variable τ -free from T_1 .

Now we just have to observe that $\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3$ is also $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian because of $\mathcal{D}$ -freeness of T_1 and T_3 . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^*) &= (a + b)L(d) \\ \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)) &= (a + b)L^*(d) \\ \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)) &= 0 \\ \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^*) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3 \stackrel{*dist.}{\sim} \sqrt{a+b}T_1$. Summarizing we have showed that

$$\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}Y \stackrel{*dist.}{\sim} \sqrt{a+b}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*,$$

but this is exactly what the lemma states. $\square$

The following two lemmas tells us that in the presence of the algebra $\mathcal{D}$ we can “cut out” the lower (and upper) triangular part of certain products and linear combinations of DT-operators.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $c > 0$. Let $T_1 = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}(X_1, \lambda)$ and $T_2 = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}(X_2, \lambda)$ be upper triangular operators. Then*

$$[D + cT_1, T_2] \in \overline{W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\tau}}.$$

Proof. Let $D_0 : x \rightarrow x$ be the identity-function in $\mathcal{D}$. By theorem 5.4 we know that $D_0 \in W^*(T_1)$. Define the projections $p_i^{(n)} := 1_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(D_0)$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If we can show that

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n p_i^{(n)} ([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*]) p_j^{(n)} - [D + cT_1, T_2] \right\|_\tau = 0,$$

then the lemma follows immediately from the fact that $D_0 \in W^*(T_1)$. From the construction of T_1 in (5.1) we know that

$$(5.7) \quad T_i = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_i p_j^{(n)},$$

for $i = 1, 2$, since we can just replace T_i in (5.7) by $T_n^{(i)}$ from (5.1) and take the norm limit. Thus also

$$T_1 T_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)},$$

and since $p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} = 0$ for $i > k$ and $p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)} = 0$ for $k > j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 T_2 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)}. \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 T_2 p_j^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \geq j$

$$p_i^{(n)} T_1 T_2 p_j^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & i > j \\ p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_i^{(n)} T_2 p_i^{(n)} & i = j, \end{cases}$$

because $p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} = 0$ for $i > k$ and $p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)} = 0$ for $j < k$. For $i \neq j$ we have $p_i^{(n)} D p_j^{(n)} = 0$ since D commutes with the orthogonal

projections $p_i^{(n)}$ and $p_j^{(n)}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (D + cT_1)T_2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)T_2 p_j^{(n)} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)p_i^{(n)}T_2 p_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)p_i^{(n)}p_j^{(n)}T_2 p_j^{(n)} \\ = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)p_i^{(n)} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^{(n)}T_2 p_j^{(n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Reversing the role of T_1 and T_2 and subtracting we conclude that

$$(5.8) \quad [D + cT_1, T_2] - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)}[D + cT_1, T_2]p_j^{(n)} = [D + cU^{(n)}, V^{(n)}],$$

where $U^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)}T_1 p_i^{(n)}$ and $V^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^{(n)}T_2 p_j^{(n)}$. But $\|U^{(n)}\| = \|V^{(n)}\| = \sqrt{\frac{e}{n}}$ because $\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \sqrt{e}$ [DH1, Cor. 8.11], so

$$(5.9) \quad \|[U^{(n)}, V^{(n)}]\|_\tau \leq \|[U^{(n)}, V^{(n)}]\| \leq 2 \left(\|D\| + \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

For $i < j$ we have

$$p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)^* T_2^* p_j^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)^* p_k^{(n)} T_2^* p_j^{(n)} = 0$$

because D commute with the involved projections and since $p_i^{(n)}T_1^* p_k^{(n)} = 0$ for $i < k$ and $p_k^{(n)}T_1^* p_j^{(n)} = 0$ for $k < j$. Reversing the role of $(D + cT_1)^*$ and T_2^* , summing and subtracting we have

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)}[(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*]p_j^{(n)} = 0.$$

Hence (5.6) follows from combining (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10). $\square$

Lemma 5.8. *Let $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ and $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$ be upper triangular operators as in the beginning of the section. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be nonzero positive scalars. Then*

$$T_1, T_2 \in W^*(D + \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*, \mathcal{D}).$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of lemma 5.7, and let $D_0 : x \rightarrow x$ be the identity-function in $\mathcal{D}$. We only show that $T_1 \in W^*(D + \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*, \mathcal{D})$, since the result for T_2 is obtained in a similar way. Define the projections $p_i^{(n)} := 1_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(D_0)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Remember from

the proof of lemma 5.7 that

$$T_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_j^{(n)},$$

and that

$$U^{(n)} := T_1 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_j^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_i^{(n)}.$$

We have $\|U^{(n)}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{e}{n}}$ and also

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_2^* p_j^{(n)} = 0.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sqrt{a} T_1 - \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n p_i^{(n)} (D + \sqrt{a} T_1 + \sqrt{b} T_2^*) p_j^{(n)} \right\| \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{a} \|U^{(n)}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{ae}{n}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

6. δ^* FOR A DT-OPERATOR WITH RESPECT TO $\mathcal{D}$

Let $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$, $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$ be upper triangular operators as in the beginning of section 5. Define $Z = D + cT_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ where $c > 0$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$. By theorem 5.2 Z is a $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator where μ is the distribution of D . Let $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ be a standard circular operator $*$ -free from D and T_1 and thus also $*$ -free from Z . By corollary 4.1 we are to compute

$$(6.1) \quad \delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) = 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(D + cT_1 + \sqrt{t}Y, (D + cT_1 + \sqrt{t}Y)^* : \mathcal{D})),$$

where Y is a standard circular element $*$ -free from T_1 , if the limit exists. By lemma 5.6 we know that

$$T_1 + \sqrt{t}Y \stackrel{*-\text{dist.}}{\sim} \sqrt{1+t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*,$$

so using (2.4) of remark 2.4 (6.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) \\ &= 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(D + \sqrt{c^2+t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*, (D + \sqrt{c^2+t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*)^* : \mathcal{D})) \\ &= 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*, (\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*)^* : \mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

if the limit on the right-hand side exists. Define

$$(S_t, S_t^*) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*, \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^* \right)^* \right).$$

Then it easy to see that

$$(\xi_t, \xi_t^*) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1^* + T_2, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1 + T_2^* \right)$$

satisfies the conjugate relations for (S_t, S_t^*) with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ because $(\xi_t, \xi_t^*, S_t, S_t^*)$ is a (non-centered) $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian system. For example

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}(\xi_t \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} dS_t) &= E_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1^* + T_2 \right) d \left(\sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^* \right) \right) \\ &= L^*(d) + L(d) = \left(\int_0^1 d(y)dy \right) 1 = \tau(d)1, \end{aligned}$$

for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ because T_1 and T_2 are $\mathcal{D}$ -free, and $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ -free from everything [Sp1, Lemma 3.2.4]. The other $\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}$ -identities are checked similarly. Furthermore $\xi_t, \xi_t^* \in L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau)$ because lemma 5.8 says that actually $T_1, T_2 \in W^*(S_t, \mathcal{D})$.

We thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathcal{D}) &= \|\xi_t\|_{\tau}^2 + \|\xi_t^*\|_{\tau}^2 = 2\|\xi_t\|_{\tau}^2 \\ &= 2\frac{t}{c^2+t}\tau(T_1^*T_1) + 2\tau(T_2T_2^*) \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}(\tau(E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_1^*T_2^*) + E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_2T_1))) \\ &= \frac{t}{c^2+t} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ -freeness of T_1 and T_2 implies that

$$E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_1^*T_2^*) = E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_2T_1) = 0.$$

We have now shown that (ξ_t, ξ_t^*) is a conjugate system for (S_t, S_t^*) , so by (6.1)

$$\delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) = 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{t}{c^2+t} + 1 \right) = 1.$$

□

7. δ^* FOR A DT-OPERATOR WITH RESPECT TO $\mathbb{C}$

Let $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}$ be two $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ -free upper triangular operators and let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be chosen such that $D \in W^*(D + cT_1)$. As in the previous section we define $S_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*$, and $\xi_t = \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1^* + T_2$. $Z = D + cT_1$ is a DT-operator and by lemma 5.3 every DT-operator can be realized in this way.

The goal is to compute $\delta^*(Z) := \delta^*(Z : \mathbb{C})$. Inspecting the proof of $\delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) = 1$ from section 6 we observe that a crucial point in showing that (ξ_t, ξ_t^*) is a conjugate system for (S_t, S_t^*) is the use of the algebra $\mathcal{D}$ to “cut out” the upper and lower triangular parts of S_t and S_t^* . Since $\mathcal{D}$ can not be contained in $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$ [Voi6, Prop. p. 123] the above argument does not apply to compute $\delta^*(Z)$. By remark 2.4 (c) it does however tell us that (ξ_t, ξ_t^*) satisfies the conjugate relations for (S_t, S_t^*) with respect to $\mathbb{C}$. Summarizing we have

$$(7.1) \quad \delta^*(Z) \geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathcal{D}) = 1$$

We would like to compute the Hilbert space projection

$$P : L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau) \rightarrow L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau).$$

This however seems to be very difficult. Instead our strategy will be to estimate the distance from ξ_t to a suitable subspace of the orthogonal complement of $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$ in $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. To do this we first produce an element in the orthogonal complement $(L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau))^\perp$.

Lemma 7.1.

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} j_t &:= [\xi_t, S_t] + [\xi_t^*, S_t^*] \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}} - \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}} \right) ([T_1, T_2] + [T_1^*, T_2^*]) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1^* + T_2 \right] + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D^*, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1 + T_2^* \right] \end{aligned}$$

belongs to $(L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau))^\perp$ in $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau)$.

Remark 7.2. The above element, j_t , is actually just Voiculescu’s liberation gradient of $(\text{alg}(\{S_t, S_t^*\}), \mathcal{D})$ [Voi6, section 5]. Voiculescu shows that the liberation gradient $j(A, B)$, of two unital algebras A and B in a W^* -probability space (M, τ) measures how τ -free A and B are, in the sense that $j(A, B) = 0$ if and only if A and B are τ -free. The

liberation gradient $j(A, B)$ satisfies

(7.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(j(A, B)a_1b_1 \cdots a_nb_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\tau(a_1b_1 \cdots b_{k-1}a_k)\tau(b_ka_{k+1}b_{k+1} \cdots a_nb_n) \\ &\quad - \tau(a_1b_1 \cdots a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\tau(a_kb_k \cdots a_nb_n)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$.

Proof. Since (ξ_t, ξ_t^*) is a conjugate system for (S_t, S_t^*) with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ it satisfies the conjugate relations (2.1). Using (2.1) it is easy to show by direct computation that $j_t := [\xi_t, S_t] + [\xi_t^*, S_t^*]$ satisfies (7.3), that is,

(7.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(j_t S_t^{i_1} d_1 \cdots S_t^{i_n} d_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\tau(S_t^{i_1} d_1 \cdots d_{k-1} S_t^{i_k})\tau(d_k S_t^{i_{k+1}} d_{k+1} \cdots S_t^{i_n} d_n) \\ &\quad - \tau(S_t^{i_1} d_1 \cdots S_t^{i_{k-1}} d_{k-1})\tau(S_t^{i_k} d_k \cdots S_t^{i_n} d_n)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, *\}$. Restricting (7.4) to the case where $d_1 = \dots = d_n = 1 \in \mathcal{D}$ we infer that

$$\tau(j_t S_t^{i_1} \cdots S_t^{i_n}) = 0$$

for all $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, *\}$. The inner product of j_t with monomials of the form $S_t^{i_1} \cdots S_t^{i_n}$ is thus zero, and since these monomials span a dense linear subspace of $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$ we conclude that $j_t \perp L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$. The last equality of (7.2) is easily checked by direct computation. $\square$

We now use $j_t \in W^*(S_t)^\perp$ from (7.2) to give a new lower bound of $\delta^*(Z)$.

Lemma 7.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \\ \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define $\tilde{S}_t = \sqrt{t}S_t = D + \sqrt{c^2 + t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*$. We know that

$$(7.5) \quad \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) = 2 \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t)}(\xi_t)\|_\tau^2$$

Let $A \in W^*(D + cT_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(D + cT_1)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose polynomials p and q in $D + cT_1$ and $(D + cT_1)^*$ such

that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A - p(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*)([D + cT_1, T_2] \\ & \quad + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])q(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*)\|_\tau < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) &= p(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*)\sqrt{t}j_tq(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*) \\ &= p(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*)\left(\left(\sqrt{c^2 + t} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{c^2 + t}}\right)([T_1, T_2] + [T_1^*, T_2^*]) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + [D, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1^* + T_2] + [D^*, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1 + T_2^*]\right)q(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 0$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} B(0) &= p(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*)([D + cT_1, T_2] \\ & \quad + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])q(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|A - B(0)\|_\tau < \epsilon$ and $\|B(t) - B(0)\| \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Since by lemma 7.1 $j_t\sqrt{t} \in W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)^\perp$ also $B(t) \in W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)^\perp$ so

$$\|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(A)\|_\tau \leq \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(B(t))\|_\tau + \|A - B(t)\|_\tau = 0 + \|A - B(t)\|_\tau,$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(A)\|_\tau \leq \|A - B(t)\|_\tau < \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was chosen arbitrarily we conclude that

$$(7.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(A)\|_\tau = 0,$$

for all $A \in W^*(D + cT_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(D + cT_1)$.

Now define

$$\alpha = \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)).$$

Since $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is chosen such that $D \in W^*(D + cT_1)$ we have $W^*(T_1) = W^*(D + cT_1)$ so from (7.6) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(T_2)\|_\tau \leq \alpha,$$

but since $\|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}\|_\tau \leq 1$ and since $\|\xi_t - T_2\|_\tau \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0^+$ we conclude that

$$(7.7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(\xi_t)\|_\tau \leq \alpha.$$

Combining with (7.5) we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \leq 2\alpha^2.$$

□

Lemma 5.7 can now be used to get rid of the $[(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*]$ -term in lemma 7.3.

Proposition 7.4.

(7.8)

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2])W^*(T_1))^2.$$

Proof. It is immediate that

$$E = \overline{W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)}^{\|\cdot\|_\tau}$$

is invariant under multiplication from the left and right with elements from $W^*(T_1)$. By lemma 5.7 we know that $[D + cT_1, T_2] \in E$ so

$$W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1) \subseteq E.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1)) &\geq \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, E) \\ &= \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)), \end{aligned}$$

so the proposition follows from lemma 7.3. $\square$

Let $W^*(T_1)^0$ be the opposite algebra, that is, $W^*(T_1)^0$ is just $W^*(T_1)$ with multiplication reversed.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\hat{\otimes}$ denote the von Neumann algebra tensor product, and equip $W^*(T_1)$ with the usual faithful normal tracial state, τ , and usual conditional expectation, $E_{\mathcal{D}}$, given by restriction to $W^*(T_1)$. There exists a positive functional, $\phi : W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- (i) $0 \leq \phi \leq \tau \otimes \tau^0$
- (ii) $\tau(T_2^* a T_2 b) = \phi(a \otimes b^0)$ for $a, b \in W^*(T_1)$.

where $b \mapsto b^0 : W^*(T_1) \rightarrow W^*(T_1)^0$ is the anti-multiplicative isomorphism.

Proof. For all $a, b \in W^*(T_1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T_2^* a T_2 b) &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x E_{\mathcal{D}}(a)(t) dt \right) E_{\mathcal{D}}(b)(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 E_{\mathcal{D}}(a)(t) E_{\mathcal{D}}(b)(x) h(t, x) dt dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq x \\ 0, & t > x \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. $h(t, x)$ corresponds to an element $H \in D \hat{\otimes} D^0$ such that $0 \leq H \leq 1$, so

$$\tau(T_2^* a T_2 b) = (\tau \otimes \tau^0)(H(E_{\mathcal{D}}(a) \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0}(b))).$$

Since $E_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0}$ is a positive normal operator on $W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0$ we have

$$\phi : z \mapsto (\tau \otimes \tau^0)(H(E_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0}(z)))$$

is a positive normal functional on $W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0$. For $z \geq 0$ we observe that

$$\phi(z) \leq (\tau \otimes \tau^0)((E_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0})(z)) = (\tau \otimes \tau^0)(z),$$

so

$$0 \leq \phi \leq \tau \otimes \tau^0.$$

□

We want to estimate the distance $\alpha = \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)[D+cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1))$ from proposition 7.4. Let $\phi : W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the state from lemma 7.5. We observe that for $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in W^*(T_1)$ lemma 7.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T_2 b_i\|_{\tau}^2 &= \|T_2\|_{\tau}^2 - 2\Re \tau \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_2^* a_i T_2 b_i \right) \\ &\quad + \tau \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n b_i^* T_2^* a_i^* a_j T_2 b_j \right) \\ &= \phi(1 \otimes 1) - 2\Re \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i^0 \right) \\ &\quad + \phi \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* a_j \otimes (b_j b_i^*)^0 \right) \\ &= \phi \left(\left(1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i^0 \right)^* \left(1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j^0 \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left\| 1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i^0 \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1)) \\
&= \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| T_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i((D + cT_1)T_2 - T_2(D + cT_1))b_i \right\|_{\tau} \\
&= \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| T_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(D + cT_1))T_2b_i - a_iT_2((D + cT_1)b_i) \right\|_{\tau} \\
&\leq \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| 1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(D + cT_1) \otimes b_i^0 - a_i \otimes b_i^0(D + cT_1)^0) \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0} \\
&= \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| 1 \otimes 1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \otimes b_i^0) \right) ((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we can show that $\ker(R) = \{0\}$ for $R := (D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0$ then defining $Q_n = f_n(R^*R)R^*$ where

$$f_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{t} & \text{for } t \geq \frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}$$

we have $Q_n R = 1_{[\frac{1}{n}, \infty)}(R^*R)$ so since $\ker(R^*R) = \ker(R) = \{0\}$ we have $\|Q_n R - 1 \otimes 1\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0} \rightarrow 0$. Since each $Q_n \in W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0$ can be approximated in the strong operator topology by operators of the form $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes b_i^0$ where $a_i \in W^*(T_1)$ and $b_i^0 \in W^*(T_1)^0$ it follows that $\alpha = 0$.

Combining this with theorem 7.4 we have

$$(7.9) \quad \ker((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) = \{0\} \Rightarrow \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) = 0.$$

By (7.1) this will imply that $\delta^*(T) \geq 2$. So now the only remaining problem is to show that $\ker((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) = \{0\}$, and this will follow from the following “eigenspace”-results.

Lemma 7.6. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ be bounded operators on a Hilbert space, H , such that $\ker(B) = \{0\}$. Define $E_\lambda = \{x \in H | Ax = \lambda Bx\}$. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are mutually different, then the corresponding subspaces $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_n}$ are all linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be mutually different complex numbers. We must show that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ implies $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ when $x_i \in E_{\lambda_i}$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. But $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A^k x_i = 0,$$

for all $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Since $x_i \in E_{\lambda_i}$ we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k B^k x_i.$$

Multiplying by B an appropriate number of times we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^{n-1}x_1 \\ B^{n-1}x_2 \\ \vdots \\ B^{n-1}x_n \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Since the determinant on the left hand-side is a van der Monde determinant, which is exactly invertible for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ all mutually different, we infer that $B^{n-1}x_1 = \cdots = B^{n-1}x_n = 0$. Since $\ker(B) = \{0\}$ also $\ker(B^{n-1}) = \{0\}$ so $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$. Thus the “eigenspaces” $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_n}$ are linearly independent. $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *Let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$ be a finite W^* -algebra represented on a Hilbert space H . Let $A, B \in \mathcal{N}$, and define $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$. If $\ker B = \{0\}$ then*

$$(7.10) \quad E_{\lambda_n} \cap \overline{E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}} = \{0\},$$

when $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are mutually different.

Proof. By lemma 7.6 we know that $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_n}$ are linearly independent for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ mutually different, so we have

$$(7.11) \quad E_{\lambda_n} \cap (E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}) = \{0\}.$$

Obviously $E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}$ and E_{λ_n} are subspaces of H affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$, so theorem A.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\lambda_n} \cap \overline{E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}} &\subseteq \overline{E_{\lambda_n} \cap (E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}})} \\ &= \overline{E_{\lambda_n} \cap (E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}})} = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 7.8. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ be bounded operators on a Hilbert space, H , such that $\ker B = \{0\}$ and define $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$. Assume that $A, B \in \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is a II_1 -factor with a faithful tracial*

state, τ . Let p_λ be the projection onto E_λ . If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are mutually different, then

$$(7.12) \quad \tau \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^n p_{\lambda_j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(p_{\lambda_j}).$$

Proof. If p, q are two projections in $\mathcal{N}$ then it follows from Kaplansky's formula that

$$(7.13) \quad \tau(p \vee q) = \tau(p) + \tau(q) - \tau(p \wedge q).$$

Define $q_k = \bigvee_{i=1}^k p_i$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, and put $q_0 = 0$. Then $q_k = p_k \vee q_{k-1}$ and by lemma 7.7 $p_k \wedge q_{k-1} = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ since $E_{\lambda_k} \cap E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{k-1}} = \{0\}$. We thus conclude from (7.13) that

$$\tau(q_k) = \tau(p_k) + \tau(q_{k-1}),$$

and thus also

$$\tau(q_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(p_j).$$

□

Corollary 7.9. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ be bounded operators on a Hilbert space, H , such that $\ker B = \{0\}$ and define $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$. Assume that $A, B \in \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is a II_1 -factor with a faithful tracial state, τ . Let p_λ be the projection onto E_λ . Then $E_\lambda = \{0\}$ except for countably many $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. For any finite subset $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ proposition 7.8 implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in F} \tau(p_\lambda) = \tau \left(\bigvee_{\lambda \in F} p_\lambda \right) \leq 1,$$

so

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \tau(p_\lambda) \leq 1,$$

and thus $\tau(p_\lambda) = 0$ for all but countably many $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. □

Corollary 7.10.

$$\ker((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Define $A_1 = T_1 \otimes 1$ and $B_1 = 1 \otimes T_1^0$. It follows from [DH1, Th. 8.9] that $\ker(B_1) = \ker(1 \otimes T_1^0) = \{0\}$ so corollary 7.9 applies. $\ker(T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1^0) \neq \{0\}$ would imply that $\ker(T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \lambda T_1^0) \neq \{0\}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ in the unit circle of $\mathbb{C}$, since T_1 and λT_1 have the same $*$ -distribution [DH1, Prop. 2.12]. But then $E_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ which is not countable. This contradicts corollary 7.9 so we must have

$\ker(T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1^0) = \{0\}$. Next define $A = D \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes D^0$ and $B = T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1^0$. Then we have just seen that $\ker(B) = \{0\}$, so since (A, B) and $(A, \lambda B)$ are equally $*$ -distributed for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ we can use corollary 7.9 once more to conclude that $\ker((D + cT) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT)^0) = \{0\}$. $\square$

Corollary 7.10 finishes the proof of $\delta^*(T) \geq 2$. It follows from proposition 3.4 used on the real and imaginary part of T that $\delta^*(T) \leq 2$ so we conclude that $\delta^*(T) = 2$.

Proceeding as in the proof of corollary 7.10 we have following corollary on the point spectrum of DT-operators.

Corollary 7.11. *Every DT-operator has empty point spectrum.*

Proof. Let $D + cT$ be a DT-operator for $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $T \in \mathcal{UT}(X, c)$ for some compactly supported complex probability measure, μ , and some $c > 0$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be fixed. Then $(-\gamma 1 + D) + cT$ is again a DT-operator and the $*$ -distribution of $(-\gamma 1 + D) + cT$ is completely determined by the distribution of $(-\gamma 1 + D)$ and c . Since λT and T are equally $*$ -distributed for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ we infer that $(-\gamma 1 + D) + cT$ and $(-\gamma 1 + D) + \lambda cT$ are equally $*$ -distributed for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. By an argument similar to the one given in corollary 7.10 we infer that

$$\ker(\gamma 1 - (D + cT)) = \ker((-\gamma 1 + D) + cT) = \{0\}$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, so $\sigma_p(D + cT) = \emptyset$. $\square$

APPENDIX A. AFFILIATED SUBSPACES

This appendix is part of an unpublished master thesis [AZ] done in 1985 by P. Ainsworth under supervision of U. Haagerup. The translated title of the thesis is: *Unbounded operators affiliated with a finite von Neumann algebra*.

Definition A.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbertspace, H . Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a subspace of H . We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is *affiliated* to $\mathcal{A}$ if for all A' in the commutant, $\mathcal{A}'$, of $\mathcal{A}$, and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ we have $A'\xi \in \mathcal{E}$.

We say that an operator, T , is affiliated with the von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ if T for every $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ we have $A'\mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T)$ and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ we have $A'T\xi = TA'\xi$, i.e. if $TA' \subset A'T$.

It is an easy exercise to see that closures and intersections of affiliated subspaces are again affiliated subspaces.

It is the purpose of this appendix is to give a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem A.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbert space H . Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be subspaces of H affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}}.$$

The proof relies on the T -theorem.

Theorem A.3 (T-theorem). [Sk, Cor. 2] *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H and let $\xi \in H$. If $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{A}\xi}$ there exists a closed densely defined operator, T , affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $T\xi = \eta$.*

Actually we need the following version of the T-theorem for the commutant of a finite von Neumann algebra.

Corollary A.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H . Then the T -theorem is valid for the commutant of $\mathcal{A}$, that is for all $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$ there exists a closed densely defined operator, T , affiliated with $\mathcal{A}'$ such that $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $T\xi = \eta$.*

Proof. Let $\xi, \eta \in H$ such that $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$ and let p_ξ and p_η be the projections onto $\overline{\mathcal{A}\xi}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}\eta}$ respectively. By [KR, prop. 9.1.2.] p_ξ and p_η are finite projections in $\mathcal{A}'$. By [KR, 6.3.8.] the finiteness of p_ξ and p_η implies that $p := p_\xi \vee p_\eta$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{A}'$. Now $\xi, \eta \in p(H)$, and since the commutant of $\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright_{p(H)}$ is the finite von Neumann algebra $p\mathcal{A}'p$ the T-theorem (for the finite W^* -algebra $p\mathcal{A}'p$) says that there exists a closed, densely defined (in $p(H)$) operator, T , affiliated with $p\mathcal{A}'p$ such $T\xi = \eta$. Now extend T to a closed operator which is densely defined in H by defining $T = 0$ on the orthogonal complement of $p(H)$. Then T is a closed, densely defined operator affiliated with $\mathcal{A}'$ such that $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $T\xi = \eta$. $\square$

Lemma A.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H . If $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net of projections in $\mathcal{A}$ and if p and q are projections in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $p_i \nearrow p$ and $q \leq p$ then $p_i \wedge q \nearrow p \wedge q = q$*

Proof. By Kaplansky's formula we have

$$q - q \wedge p_i \sim q \vee p_i - p_i.$$

Since $p_i \leq p$ and $q \leq p$ we have $p_i \vee q \leq p$, so

$$q - q \wedge p_i \sim q \vee p_i - p_i \leq p - p_i.$$

$(q \wedge p_i)_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net of projections bounded above by p , so $(q \wedge p_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to some projection $r \leq p$. For every normal tracial state, τ , we now have

$$\tau(q - r) = \lim_i \tau(q - q \wedge p_i) \leq \lim_i \tau(p - p_i) = 0.$$

Since a finite von Neumann algebra has a faithful family of normal tracial states [Ta, Th. 2.4.] we infer that $q = r$, so $q \wedge p_i \nearrow q$. $\square$

As a final step towards proving theorem A.2 we need the following key result.

Theorem A.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbert space H . Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a subspace of H affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$. Then for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $A'\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\|A'\xi - \xi\| < \delta$.*

Proof. The proof has three parts. First assume that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}'\eta$ for some $\eta \in H$. Let $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$. By corollary A.4 the T-theorem is true for $\mathcal{A}'$ so there exists a closed densely defined operator, T , affiliated with $\mathcal{A}'$ such that $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $\xi = T\eta$. Let $T = |T^*|U$ be the right polar decomposition of T and define $p_n = 1_{[0,n]}(|T^*|)$. Then $p_n\xi \rightarrow \xi$ in norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$p_n\xi = 1_{[0,n]}(|T^*|)|T^*|U\eta = \left(\int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda\right)U\eta,$$

where e_λ is the spectral measure of $|T^*|$. Since $\int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}'$ we have $p_n\xi \in \mathcal{A}'\eta = \mathcal{E}$. Now choose n large enough to ensure that $\|p_n\xi - \xi\| < \delta$. This proves the theorem in the first case.

Secondly assume that $\mathcal{E} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{A}'\eta_k$ for some $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in H$. Define $\tilde{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in H^{\oplus n}$ and observe that for $A'_1, \dots, A'_n \in \mathcal{A}'$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & \cdots & A'_n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n A'_k \eta_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so $\tilde{\xi} := (\xi, 0, \dots, 0) \in \overline{M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}}$. Identify $\mathcal{A}$ with its unital embedding as diagonal operators in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. With this identification $\mathcal{A}$ is the commutant of $M_n(\mathcal{A}')$, so the idea is now to use the first part of the proof on the subspace $M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}$ of $H^{\oplus n}$ and the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ represented on $H^{\oplus n}$ with commutant $M_n(\mathcal{A}')$. The first part of the proof thus gives us an $A' \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')$ such that $\|A'\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}\| < \delta$, and $A'\tilde{\xi} \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}$. If $A' = (A'_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ then of course

$$A'\tilde{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} A'_{11} & \cdots & A'_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A'_{n1} & \cdots & A'_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A'_{11}\xi \\ \vdots \\ A'_{n1}\xi \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$\delta^2 > \|A'\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}\|^2 > \|A'_{11}\xi - \xi\|^2$$

and furthermore since $A'\tilde{\xi} \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}$ there exists $B' = (B'_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')$ such that

$$A'_{11}\xi = (A'\tilde{\xi})_1 = (B'\tilde{\eta})_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n B'_{1k}\eta_k \in \mathcal{E},$$

so $A'_{11} \in \mathcal{A}'$ satisfies the theorem in the second case.

As the third and final case assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is an arbitrary subspace of H affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$. Define $\mathcal{E}_J = \sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{A}'\eta$ for all finite subsets, J , of $\mathcal{E}$. Then $(\mathcal{E}_J)$ is a upward filtering net ordered by inclusion with upper bound $\mathcal{E}$, so if p_J denotes the projection onto the closure of the subspace $\mathcal{E}_J$ and p denotes the projection onto the closure of the subspace $\mathcal{E}$ then (p_J) is an increasing net of projections that converges strongly to p .

Let $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and let q be the projection onto the closure of the subspace $\mathcal{A}'\xi$. Then $q \in \mathcal{A}$ and since $\overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ we have $q \leq p$ so $p_J \wedge q \nearrow q$ by lemma A.5. Choose J a finite subset of $\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$(A.1) \quad \|(p_J \wedge q)\xi - \xi\| < \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

We know that $(p_J \wedge q)\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$ so the first part of the proof gives us an $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi \in \mathcal{A}'\xi$ and

$$(A.2) \quad \|A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi - (p_J \wedge q)\xi\| < \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

Choose $B' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $B'\xi = A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi$. Since

$$B'\xi = A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi = (p_J \wedge q)A'\xi \subseteq p_J(H) = \overline{\sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{A}'\eta}$$

the second part of the proof gives us a $C' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that

$$(A.3) \quad \|C'B'\xi - B'\xi\| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

and $C'B'\xi \in \sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{A}'\eta \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. Combining (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C'B'\xi - \xi\| &\leq \|C'B'\xi - B'\xi\| \\ &\quad + \|A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi - (p_J \wedge q)\xi\| + \|(p_J \wedge q)\xi - \xi\| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

so $C'B' \in \mathcal{A}'$ proves the theorem in the third case. $\square$

Proof of theorem A.2. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be subspaces of H affiliated with the finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as stated in the theorem. Let $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{E}}$. By theorem A.6 there exists an $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\|A'\xi - \xi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and such that $A'\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $A'\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Applying theorem A.6 again we obtain a $B' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\|B'(A'\xi) - A'\xi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and such that $B'(A'\xi) \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$ we have $B'(A'\xi) \in \mathcal{E}$ and thus $B'A'\xi \in \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}$. The inequalities imply that

$$\|B'A'\xi - \xi\| \leq \|B'A'\xi - A'\xi\| + \|A'\xi - \xi\| < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary we conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}}$. Conversely $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ which is closed in H so $\overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- AZ. P. Ainsworth, *Ubegrænsede operatorer affilieret med en endelig von Neumann algebra*, Master Thesis, University of Odense, 1985.
- DH1. K. Dykema, U. Haagerup, *DT-operators and decomposability of Voiculescu's circular operator*, preprint. To appear in Amer. J. Math.
- DH2. ———, *Invariant subspaces of the quasinilpotent DT-operator*, preprint. To appear in JFA.
- HP. F. Hiai, D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free random Variables and Entropy*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 77, Am Math. Soc., 2000.
- NSS1. A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko, R. Speicher, *Some minimization problems for the free analogue of the free Fischer information*, Advances in Mathematics **141** (1999), no. 2, 282-321.
- NSS2. ———, *Operator-valued distributions. I. Characterizations of freeness*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2002**, no. 29, 1509-1538.
- NSS3. ———, *A Characterization of Freeness by a Factorization Property of R-transforms*, MSRI Preprint 2001-001.
- KR. R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1983, 1986.
- Sk. C. Skau, *Finite subalgebras of a von Neumann algebra*, Journal of Functional analysis **25** (1977), 211-235.
- Śn. P. Śniady, *Inequality for Voiculescu's entropy in terms of Brown measure*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **2003**, 51-64.
- ŚnSp. P. Śniady, R. Speicher, *Continuous family of invariant subspaces for R-diagonal operators*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 2, 329-363.
- Sp1. R. Speicher, *Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1998), no 627, x+88 pp.
- Sp2. R. Speicher, *Combinatorics of free probability theory*, "Free probability and operator spaces", IHP, Paris, 1999.
- Ta. M. Takesaki *Theory of operator algebras I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol. 124, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative geometry Vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2000.

- Voi1. D. Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, I* Communications Math. Physics **155** (1993), 71-92.
- Voi2. ———, *The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, II*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994) 411-440.
- Voi5. ———, *The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, V: Non-commutative Hilbert Transforms*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 189-227.
- Voi6. ———, *The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, VI: Liberation and Mutual Free Information*, Advances in Mathematics **146** (1999), 101-166.

(Lars Aagaard) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M,
DENMARK

E-mail address: laa@imada.sdu.dk